5101:3-3-52.1

8

individual records that were not subject to an exception review finding in accordance with rule 5101:3-3-40 of the Administrative Code; however, the exception review determination for any resident case mix score during or at the conclusion of the exception review will take precedence over the corrections submitted by the NF for purposes of determining the facility average case mix score.

- (P) The NF may seek reconsideration in accordance with paragraph (B) of rule 5101:3-3-24 of the Administrative Code for direct care rates recalculated as a result of an exception review conducted before the effective date of the rate and may submit any additional information for specific individual record(s).
- (Q) The findings of an exception review conducted after the effective date of the rate may be appealed under provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, Chapter 119 of the Revised Code. ODHSODJFS shall not withhold from the facility's current payments any amounts ODHSODJFS claims to be due from the facility as a result of the exception review findings while the NF is pursuing administrative or judicial remedies in good faith.

Effective:

7/1/2002

R.C. 119.032 review dates: 4/11/2002 and 07/01/2007

CERTIFIED ELECTRONICALLY

Certification

06/20/2002 11:26 AM

Date

Promulgated Under: 119.03

Statutory Authority: 5111.02, 5111.27, 5111.29

Rule Amplifies:

5111.01, 5111.02, 5111.27,

5111.28, 5111.29

Prior Effective Dates: 10/1/94, 7/1/98

TN #02-020 APPROVAL DATE SUPERSEDES
TN #98-10 EFFECTIVE DATE 7/1/02 SUPERSEDES

Attachment 4.19D Page _/_ of _3_

5101:3-3-52.1

Page 1 of 3

AMENDED

Appendix A Exception Review Resident Initial Sample Selection

Resident Census on Reporting Period End Date	Minimum Initial Sample Size Required
1-4	All
5-10	5
11-20	8
21-40	10
41-44	11
45-48	12
49-52	13
53-56	14
57-75	15
76-80	16
81-85	17
86-90	18
91-95	19
96-100	20
101-105	21
106-110	22
111-115	23
116-160	24
161-166	25

TN #23-020 APPROVAL DATE
SUPERSEDES
TN #28-10 EFFECTIVE DATE 7/1/02

Attachment 4.19D Page 2 of 3

5101:3-3-52.1

Page 2 of 3

Continued: Appendix A Exception Review Resident Initial Sample Selection

Resident Census on Reporting Period End Date	Minimum Initial Sample Size Required
167-173	26
174-180	27
181-186	28
187-193	29
194-300	30
301-310	31
311-320	32
321-330	33
331-340	34
341-350	35
351-360	36
361-370	37
371-380	38
381-400	39
401-410	40
411-420	41
421-430	42
431-440	43
441-450	44
451-460	45

IN #02-020 APPROVAL DATE

SUPERSEDES

TN #98-10 EFFECTIVE DATE 7/1/02

Attachment 4.19D Page 3 of 3

5101:3-3-52.1

Page 3 of 3

Continued: Appendix A Exception Review Resident Initial Sample Selection

Resident Census on Reporting Period End Date	Minimum Initial Sample Size Required
451-460	46
461-470	47
471-480	48
481-490	49
491 or greater	50

Attachment 4.19D Page _/_ of ___

5101:3-3-52.1

Page 1 of 4

ENACTED

Appendix B
Exception Review Resident Expanded Sample Selection

Resident Census on Reporting Period End Date	Minimum Expanded Sample Size Required (includes initial sample)
1	
2	2
3	3
4	4
5	5
6	6
7	7
8	8
9	9
10-11	10
12	11
13	12
14-15	13
16	14
17	15
18-19	16
20	17
21-22	18
23	19
24-25	20

TN #02-030 APPROVAL DATE NOV 2 2 2002 SUPERSEDES
TN #98-10 EFFECTIVE DATE 7/1/02

Attachment 4.19D Page 2 of 4

5101:3-3-52.1

Page 2 of 4

Continued: Appendix B
Exception Review Resident Expanded Sample Selection

Exception Review Resident Expanded Sample Selection	
Resident Census on Reporting Period End Date	Minimum Expanded Sample Size Required (includes initial sample)
26	21
27-28	22
29-30	23
31	24
32-33	25
34-35	26
36-37	27
38-39	28
40-41	29
42-43	30
44-45	31
46-47	32
48-50	33
51-52	34
53-55	35
56-57	36
58-60	37
61-62	38
63-65	39
66-68	40
69-71	41

NOV 2 2 2002

TN #02-020 APPROVAL DATE_ SUPERSEDES

TN #98-10 EFFECTIVE DATE 7/1/02

Attachment 4.19D Page 3 of 4

5101:3-3-52.1

Page 3 of 4

Continued: Appendix B
Exception Review Resident Expanded Sample Selection

Resident Census on Reporting Period End Date	Minimum Expanded Sample Size Required (includes initial sample)
72-74	42
75-77	43
78-81	44
82-84	45
85-88	46
89-92	47
93-95	48
96-100	49
101-104	50
105-108	51
109-113	52
114-118	53
119-123	54
124-128	55
129-134	56
135-140	57
141-146	58
147-152	59
153-159	60
160-167	61

TN #22-020 APPROVAL DATE NOV 2 2 2002 SUPERSEDES
TN #98-10 EFFECTIVE DATE 7/1/28

Attachment 4.19D Page _____ of _____

5101:3-3-52.1

Page 4 of 4

Continued: Appendix B
Exception Review Resident Expanded Sample Selection

Resident Census on Reporting Period End Date	Minimum Expanded Sample Size Required (includes initial sample)
168-174	62
175-183	63
184-191	64
192-201	65
202-211	66
212-221	67
222-232	68
233-245	69
246-258	70
259-272	71
273-287	72
288-304	73
305-322	74
323-342	75
343-364	76
365 or greater	77

TN #22-020 APPROVAL DATE NOV 2 2 2002.
SUPERSEDES
TN #98-10 EFFECTIVE DATE 7/1/23

INFORMATION ONLY

LEGAL NOTICE

STATE OF OHIO OHIO DEPARTMENT OF JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES COLUMBUS, OHIO

PURSUANT TO SECTION 5111.02 AND CHAPTER 119. OF THE REVISED CODE, AND SECTION 1902 (a)(13)(A) OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES GIVES NOTICE OF THE DEPARTMENT'S FINAL AMENDMENT OF RULE 5101:3-3-52.1 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE ON A PERMANENT BASIS.

5101:3-3-52.1 entitled "Exception review process for nursing facilities (NFs)" sets forth changes to the exception review process for nursing facilities (NFs). The Medicaid long term care payment system provides for the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) to perform clinical audits, known as exception reviews, of the Minimum Data Set version 2.0 (MDS 2.0) assessment data provided by NFs. This rule is being reviewed pursuant to Section 119.032 of the Ohio Revised Code (ORC), which requires the review of all state agency rules within a five-year period. The purpose of this review is to determine whether a rule should be continued without amendment, be amended, or be rescinded, taking into consideration the rule's purpose and scope. In addition, the intent of the review is to ensure that rules are clear and concise as written, program requirements are accurate and up to date, unnecessary paperwork is eliminated, and when possible local agencies are given more flexibility.

As a result of this review, this rule is being proposed for permanent amendment to establish consistency in the calculation of the initial sample tolerance level and the calculation of the expanded review tolerance level. Proposed language for the calculation of the error rate is based on the sample size rather than the number of records used for rate setting as the entire population may not be tested during exception review. Data collected from historical assessment periods indicated that the error rate calculation for exception reviews was inconsistent and erroneously allowed assessment errors to fall below established tolerance levels. This will result in more accurate payment rates and is consistent with the existing calculation of the initial sample tolerance level. This amendment would also establish minimum thresholds for determining the expanded sample size. Clarifying language has been proposed indicating that except in review findings will only be taken when acceptable tolerance levels are reached or exceeded. Referen to the agency will be changed from the Ohio Department of Human Services (ODHS) to the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) to reflect the agency name change. Using the volume of reviews and results of those reviews from past assessments, the department estimates this adoption will decrease Medicaid expenditures by \$62,883.57 on an annual aggregate basis. The impact on payment rates that would result from this change cannot be calculated until such time as the nursing facility providers qualify for exception reviews subsequent to the effective date of the proposed rule and actual exception review results of the affected providers become available.

A public hearing on the proposed rule described above was held on May 15, 2002 at 10:30 a.m. in room 1865, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio. Public testimony was presented at the public hearing regarding the proposed rule. The proposed rule was heard before the Joint Committee on Agency Figure (JCARR) on June 3, 2002 at 1:30 p. m. in State House Hearing Room 121. The proposed rule was on the review agenda at the JCARR hearing with both opponent and proponent testimony being presented at the hearing. The JCARR committee did not motion to invalidate the rule, and as a result, this no serves to advise you that the above mentioned rule, for the justifications set forth above, was amended a permanent basis and will be effective on or about July 1, 2002.